

**GENERAL DENTAL COUNCIL**

**AND**

**ANAND, Vishwadev**

**[Registration number: 63942]**

---

**NOTICE OF INQUIRY**

**SUBSTANTIVE HEARING**

---

An inquiry conducted by the Professional Conduct Committee opened on 9 September 2019 and was postponed by the Committee on the same date. The hearing will re-open with a new committee on a date to be confirmed in due course.

The heads of charge contained within this sheet are current at the date of publication. They are subject to amendments at any time before or during the hearing. For the final charge, findings of fact and determination against the registrant, please visit the Recent Hearings page at [www.gdc-uk.org](http://www.gdc-uk.org) after this hearing has finished.

---

<b>Committee Members:</b>	David Wood	(Lay) (Chair)
	Sarah Rauf	(DCP)
	Ursula Bennett	(Dentist)

<b>Legal Adviser:</b>	Sandeep Kainth
-----------------------	----------------

## CHARGE

Vishwadev ANAND, a dentist, BS Lond 1996, MB Lond 1996, LDS Royal College of Surgeons Of England 1989, BDS Lond 1988 is summoned to appear before the Professional Conduct Committee on 9 September 2019 for an inquiry into the following charge:

That, being a registered dentist:

1. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient A on 10 June 2015 in relation to the sinus lift surgery, in that you:
  - a. did not inform Patient A that the sinus lining was perforated;
  - b. did not treat Patient A in a clean and safe environment, in that you:
    - i. dropped graft material on Patient A's face before placing it in the implant area;
    - ii. did not follow a full sterile protocol.
2. Your conduct in relation to 1(a) above was:
  - ii. misleading;
  - iii. dishonest, in that you knew the sinus lining was perforated.
3. You failed to provide an adequate standard of aftercare to Patient A between 10 June 2015 and 24 June 2015 following implant treatment you provided, in that you:
  - a. did not recognise the surgical failure;
  - b. did not inform Patient A of the surgical failure;
  - c. did not act on the surgical failure within an appropriate timeframe;
  - d. advised Patient A that the saline mouthwash coming out of her nose was normal;
  - e. treated Patient A's symptoms with a saline wash;
4. Your conduct in relation to 3(d) above was:
  - a. misleading;
  - b. dishonest, in that you knew saline mouthwash coming out of Patient A's nose was not normal.
5. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient A on 2 July 2015 in that you:
  - a. advised Patient A to stop taking metronidazole without prescribing an alternative antibiotic;
  - b. did not adequately examine Patient A before taking the decision in 5(a) above.
6. You failed to maintain an adequate standard of record keeping in respect of Patient A between 10 June 2015 and 2 July 2015, in that the record you kept of implant lot numbers included legible details of other patients.

7. You failed to maintain an adequate standard of record keeping in respect of Patient A between 2 July 2015 to 30 July 2015 in that you:
  - a. did not maintain a contemporaneous record for the appointments of:
    - i. 2 July 2015;
    - ii. 5 July 2015;
    - iii. 30 July 2015;
  - b. did not maintain a contemporaneous record for the home visit appointment conducted between 15 and 22 July 2015.
8. You failed to maintain an adequate standard of record keeping in respect of Patient A 's appointment on 8 July 2015 in that you recorded Patient A as complaining of "nil really now" and that the "signs and symptoms of inusitis" were "resolved", contrary to the presentation of Patient A.
9. Your conduct in relation to 8 above was:
  - a. misleading;
  - b. dishonest, in that you knew this was not an accurate record.
10. You failed to communicate effectively with colleagues in the interests of Patient A, in that you stated in the letter dated 20 August 2015, "the Schneiderian membrane elevated intact";
11. Your conduct in relation to 10 above was:
  - a. misleading;
  - b. dishonest, in that you knew this statement to be false.
12. You failed to follow data protection laws on or around 7 April 2017, in that you:
  - a. requested Patient A's hospital records by telephone from the John Radcliffe Hospital;
  - b. stated by telephone that you were Patient A 's doctor, or words to that effect;
  - c. stated by telephone that Patient A's consent was not required to obtain her hospital records, or words to that effect.
13. Your conduct in relation to 12(b) and/or 12(c) above was:
  - a) misleading;
  - b) dishonest, in that you implied you were a medical doctor, knowing that implication to be false.

AND, by reason of the matters alleged, your fitness to practise is impaired.