

GENERAL DENTAL COUNCIL

AND

FARID, Faizan

[Registration number: 245543]

**NOTICE OF INQUIRY
SUBSTANTIVE HEARING**

An inquiry conducted by the Professional Conduct Committee opened on 11 November 2019 and concluded part-heard on 22 November 2019. The hearing will resume at:

**CCT Venues-Smithfield
Two East Poultry Avenue
Smithfield
London
EC1A 9PT**

Commencing at **09:00 am 17 December 2019**.

The heads of charge contained within this sheet are current at the date of publication. They are subject to amendments at any time before or during the hearing. For the final charge, findings of fact and determination against the registrant, please visit the Recent Hearings page at www.gdc-uk.org after this hearing has finished.

Committee Members:	Mark Weston	(Dentist) (Chair)
	James Kellock	(Lay)
	Anne Ng	(DCP)

Legal Adviser:	Alexander Coleman
-----------------------	-------------------

CHARGE

Faizan, FARID, a dentist, LDS RCS 2016 is summoned to appear before the Professional Conduct Committee on 11 November 2019 for an inquiry into the following charge:

“That being registered as a dentist, Mr Faizan Farid’s (245543) fitness to practise is impaired by reason of misconduct in that: -

1. On 29 December 2016 you and Witness 1 together conducted a surgical procedure on Patient A in Theatre 11 of Hospital 1.
2. In the course of that surgical procedure on 29 December 2016 you failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient A in that:
 - a. in the course of you attempting to extract Patient A’s UL6 you placed forceps on his UL5;
 - b. you did so in error believing that the UL5 was the UL6;
 - c. you then intentionally extracted the tooth which you believed was the UL6 (but was in fact the UL5);
 - d. the UL5 was a tooth which did not require extraction and nor had Patient A consented to its extraction;
 - e. the UL5 extraction was a ‘wrong tooth’ extraction.
3. Thereafter on 29 December 2016 in conversation with Mr Islam and Witness 1 in or about Theatre 11 you agreed to a course of conduct to be followed by you and/or Mr Islam and/or Witness 1 whereby:
 - a. in records:
 - a. there would be no reference to a ‘wrong tooth’ extraction;
 - b. there would be no reference to a ‘never event’;
 - c. it would be represented that UL5 had been subluxated (loosened) or words to that effect;
 - d. it would be represented that UL5 had been partially avulsed or words to that effect;
 - e. it would be represented that UL5 had been subject to a complication associated with the procedure on UL6 or words to that effect;
 - b. to other colleagues it would be represented that:
 - a. there had not been a ‘wrong tooth’ extraction;
 - b. that there had not been a ‘never event’;
 - c. UL5 had been subluxated (loosened) or words to that effect;
 - d. UL5 had been partially avulsed or words to that effect;
 - e. UL5 had been subject to a complication associated with the procedure on UL6 or words to that effect;
 - c. to Patient A:
 - a. there would be no mention of a ‘wrong tooth’ extraction or words to that effect;

- b. it would be represented that UL5 had been loosened or words to that effect;
 - c. that UL5 had become wobbly or words to that effect;
 - d. it would be represented that UL5 had been subject to a complication associated with the procedure on UL6 or words to that effect;
4. Subsequently on 29 December 2016 you failed in your duty of candour towards Patient A when you were present with him together with Mr Islam and Witness 1 in that:
- a. you did not inform Patient A that there had been a 'wrong tooth' extraction;
 - b. you did not correct Mr Islam and/or Witness 1 when he or they told Patient A that there had been an accidental loosening of the UL5 or words to that effect;
 - c. you did not correct Mr Islam and/or Witness 1 when he or they told Patient A that UL5 had become wobbly or words to that effect;
5. On 26 January 2017 you provided your employer Trust 1 with a written statement in which you:
- a. failed to state that you had placed the forceps on UL5 and thereby extracted that tooth;
 - b. failed to state that there had been a 'wrong tooth' extraction;
 - i unambiguously;
 - ii at all;
6. You failed to raise concerns in respect of the 'wrong tooth' extraction by your conduct:
- a. at 3.
 - b. at 4.
 - c. at 5.
 - d. between 29 December 2016 and 16 February 2017;
7. Your conduct in respect of 3.a.-c., 4.a.-c., 5.a.-b. and 6.a.-d. was:
- a. misleading;
 - b. dishonest because you knowingly made and/or agreed to the making of and/or did not correct false representations in order to protect yourself and/or your colleagues from the possible consequences of a 'wrong tooth' extraction;

On 22 November 2019 this hearing was adjourned part-heard. The hearing will resume at a later date and time be arranged at the end of March 2020.